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## 1 Introduction

TNO and exploration and production (E\&P) companies in the Netherlands have started a Joint Industry Project, called VELMOD. In this project, a seismic velocity model is developed for the entire Netherlands region, both onshore and offshore. The VELMOD results will serve two purposes. First: to perform time-depth conversion of seismic horizons in regional mapping projects and in studies on hydrocarbon fields and prospects, where a fully fledged model is lacking. Second: to facilitate depth migration of 3D seismic data.

Specifically, VELMOD applies to seismic horizons that are time domain representations of the bases of the following lithostratigraphic layers (Van Adrichem Boogaert and Kouwe, 1997):

1. North Sea Supergroup (N)
2. Chalk Group (CK)
3. Rijnland Group (KN)
4. Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S)
5. Altena Group (AT)
6. Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups (R)
7. Zechstein Group (ZE)
8. Upper Rotliegend Group (RO)
9. Limburg Group (DC).

Per layer and on an areal grid of $1 \mathrm{~km} \times 1 \mathrm{~km}$, VELMOD provides parameter values for conversion of seismic traveltime into depth.

Previous to the VELMOD project, TNO conducted two velocity modelling studies for the onshore area. Their results facilitated the seismic mapping process for fifteen onshore map sheets (at a scale of 1:250,000) published in the period 1985-2004.The first study included 61 onshore boreholes. When it became clear that the resulting velocity model did not perform well, particularly on map sheets with inversion areas, a second study was initiated, including more boreholes than the first study. The resulting onshore velocity model is specified in the Geological Atlas of the Subsurface of the Netherlands - onshore (2004).

The onshore velocity studies were extended to the offshore in the SNET project of TNO. Offshore boreholes were added to the database of the onshore boreholes, resulting in a database of some 630 SNET boreholes. Doornenbal (2001) used these boreholes to point a way to a velocity model in which the Netherlands region is subdivided in areas with constant velocity parameters per layer.

The VELMOD project builds upon the SNET project, and was broken down as follows:

VELMOD-1 Phase 1 (May - September 2005): The SNET database is extended by boreholes with digital calibrated sonic (DCS) logs, which can be retrieved from the Dutch data repository DINO. A velocity modelling method based on instantaneous sonic velocities is developed and its performance is evaluated in comparison with the more common method based on interval velocities and mid depths. The most promising method of velocity modelling is selected.

VELMOD-1 Phase 2 (September 2005 - February 2006): The selected method of velocity modelling is used to construct the VELMOD-1 velocity model, based on data from the DINO data repository.

VELMOD-2 (March 2006 - November 2006): Construction of the VELMOD-2 velocity model based on data from the DINO data repository in conjunction with data from participating companies.

Phases 1 and 2 of VELMOD-1 are reported in this document.

## 2 Available data

The VELMOD-1 project had at its disposal borehole data from the Dutch data repository DINO. The values of the base depths of the various layers at the boreholes in this dataset are a result of TNO interpretation of the borehole data. There are two types of velocity data.

### 2.1 Interval velocities of the SNET project

First are interval velocities $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ for the selected lithostratigraphic layers at borehole locations. These data stem from TNO's SNET project that resulted in velocity models used in previous regional mapping projects. The available interval velocity data are presented per borehole in Appendix A. The corresponding borehole locations are shown in Figure 2.1.

Not all interval velocity data of Appendix A were used in VELMOD. Rejected were interval velocities of boreholes, where layer thickness is less than 5 ms (one-waytraveltime). These interval velocities tend to present themselves as outliers in graphical results ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ plots, variograms) of intermediate processing steps. Also rejected were a number of other outliers, whose interval velocities are at odds with the values at surrounding boreholes, or are questionable from a geophysical point of view. Rejected interval velocities are marked in Appendix A.

Also marked in Appendix A are SNET boreholes with DCS data, where the interval velocity assigned in the SNET project is disregarded in favour of the DCS based interval velocity given in Appendix C. The status of the disregarded interval velocities in Appendix A is marked as $\mathrm{SNET}>\mathrm{DCS}$.

It is noted that the North Sea Supergroup offers a relatively low number of interval velocities (307) compared with the numbers (498 and 478) for respectively the Chalk Group and the Rijnland Group. This is due to the fact that sonic logging practically never starts at ground surface. At a borehole where many hundreds of meters of the North Sea Supergroup were not logged, for example borehole BTL-01 (Figure 2.2), it is not possible to calculate a value for this interval velocity, unless the sonic data can be calibrated with other data (well shoot or seismic). As calibration data for many SNET boreholes are not available in the DINO data repository, the number of interval velocities for the North Sea Supergroup is relatively small.

Incompleteness of sonic data for the North Sea Supergroup affects not only the number, but also the accuracy of its interval velocities. Contrary to layers which are logged completely from top to base, interval velocities for the North Sea Supergroup cannot be evaluated by only integrating slowness. It is necessary to account by some other way for the traveltime across the shallow depth range without sonic data. Eventual available well shoot data, however, mostly fall short in quantity and in accuracy to assure an unambiguous value of the interval velocity. Therefore, the accuracy of interval velocities of the North Sea Supergroup is affected badly by procedural difficulties and inconsistencies, in comparison with the accuracy of interval velocities of deeper layers.

### 2.2 Calibrated instantaneous velocities

Digital calibrated logs of instantaneous velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{sl}}(\mathrm{z})$ are the second type of data available in the VELMOD project. These logs are the result of calibration of digital sonic (DCS) logs. They were mostly obtained as so called TIMC-curves from E\&P companies and deposited in the DINO data repository.

The VOLONZ software of TNO was applied to approximate the instantaneous velocities per layer with a linear velocity function $\mathrm{v}_{\text {lin }}(\mathrm{z})$ whose parameters are denoted as $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}: \mathrm{v}_{\operatorname{lin}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{kz}$. The function $\mathrm{v}_{\operatorname{lin}}(\mathrm{z})$ meets the condition that its corresponding layer traveltime equals the traveltime according to the instantaneous velocities $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{sl}}(\mathrm{z})$ of the digital calibrated sonic log. It is noted that the following relation holds: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {lin }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}\right)=\mathrm{v}_{0}+1 / 2 \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ represent depth to top, respectively base of the layer.

A graph of the calibrated instantaneous velocities, and their linearisation, of the DCS borehole (BTL-01) is presented in Figure 2.3. Note that the calibrated instantaneous velocities vary in discrete steps, not continuously as expected. This is due to insufficient precision of the traveltime ( T ) data from which the calibrated instantaneous velocities were derived. It may be noted that the non-calibrated instantaneous velocities of borehole BTL-01 (Figure 2.2) differ from the calibrated ones (Figure 2.3).

Appendix B contains the graphs of calibrated instantaneous velocities for the whole set of DCS boreholes. According to these graphs, the layer of the Zechstein Groups was also addressed by VOLONZ, but its $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ - and k -values for the Zechstein Group are to be ignored. The $\mathrm{v}_{0^{-}}$and k -values of the DCS boreholes, together with interval velocities, are listed per layer in Appendix C. As in Appendix A, rejected interval velocities are marked in Appendix C, as are the DCS based interval interval velocities which were ignored in favour of SNET interval velocities (DCS $>$ SNET). Locations of the DCS boreholes are shown, together with those of the SNET boreholes, in Figure 2.1.

### 2.3 Seismic traveltime isochores of the Zechstein Group

Apart from the borehole data, the project had at its disposal a compilation of preliminary two-way seismic traveltimes across the ZE-layer, taken from TNO interpreted 2D and 3D seismic reflection surveys in the Netherlands region. A map of these data is presented in Figure 2.4. On this map, areas are distinguished of type A, B and C. Area A is characterised by thick halite deposits and salt flow. In the B-areas, there are relatively thick proportions of non-halite salts, whereas clastic deposits tend to dominate the layer of the Zechstein Group in area C.


Figure 2.1: Distribution of boreholes available in the VELMOD-1 project


Figure 2.2: Instantaneous velocities (not calibrated) in borehole BTL-01


Figure 2.3: Linearisation of calibrated instantaneous velocities in borehole BTL-01


Figure 2.4: Preliminary isochores (TWT representation) of the Zechstein Group

## 3 The velocity model: characteristics and approaches

The velocity model is characterised by the following features:

- For layers other than the Zechstein Group, seismic velocity at a grid cell is modelled to vary linearly with depth $\mathrm{z}: \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{V}_{0}+\mathrm{Kz}$. The layer-specific parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and K are allowed to vary laterally.
- Velocity in the layer of the Zechstein Group is modelled on the basis of inferences about the type (halite, anhydrite, clastics) of the Zechstein deposits.
- At borehole locations, the model effectuates tie-in of depth-converted seismic horizons with depths known from borehole data, provided that seismic horizons and borehole data are correct.
- The more boreholes available with calibrated sonic data, the less the uncertainty in the velocity model.
- A Sequential Gaussian Simulation (SGS) approach of determining the velocity parameters of a layer implies measures to quantify uncertainty in the velocity parameters.

In the first phase of the project, two approaches were taken to construct a preliminary velocity model of the Chalk Group. One approach is through analysis of the $V_{\text {int }}-z_{\text {mid }}$ pairs of boreholes (Appendix A), and the other is through analysis of $\mathrm{v}_{0}-\mathrm{k}$ pairs of boreholes with DCS logs (Appendix C). These approaches are described below.

The velocity model for the Zechstein Group is specified by means of a grid of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ values. For the determination of these values, use was made of seismic traveltime data as described in Section 3.3.

In reading this report, one must keep in mind the difference between the upper case parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}$ and the lower case parameters $\mathrm{v}_{0}$, k . The latter pair of parameters refers explicitly to a VOLONZ linearisation of instantaneous velocities as shown in Figure 2.3 and Appendix B. Contrary, the upper case parameters do not necessarily mimic the instantaneous velocities through the relation $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{V}_{0}+\mathrm{Kz}$. Their values have to be such that they define seismic traveltimes $\left(\Delta T=T_{b}-T_{t}\right)$ through a layer that are equal to the true traveltimes at borehole locations, and are approximately correct traveltimes at other locations.

### 3.1 Vint-zmid approach

Interval velocities $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}\right)$ plotted against mid-depths $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}\right)$ are much in use to establish a global velocity function

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {global }}(z)=V_{0, \text { global }}+K_{g l o b a l} z \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be used in the construction of a velocity model for time-depth conversion of the timedomain base horizon of a subsurface layer. The linear least squares fit to a set of $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ pairs defines the parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { gobal }}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{global}}$ of the global velocity function. Figure 3.1 presents the linear least squares fit to $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ pairs of the Chalk Group.


Figure 3.1: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{mid}}$ plot of the Chalk Group (CK)

The global velocity function does not necessarily represent normal compaction of the Chalk Group with depth. This means that $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ actually are fitting parameters not describing any single, well-defined physical phenomenon. Rather, the scatter of points testifies that $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ is controlled by several factors. These are lateral lithological variations in the Chalk Group, uplift with respect to maximum burial depth and under-compaction due to overpressure. Moreover, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}-$ values are affected by measurement errors.

In view of the poorly defined physical meaning of the parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0 \text {, global }}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$, it is clear that they have to be dealt with as convenient ad hoc parameters. In velocity modelling, we prefer to assign constant $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ values to the grid cells, whereas $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is allowed to deviate from $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$. Deviations from the trendline are evaluated at borehole locations by drawing a line with its slope set to $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{global}}$ through the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ point. The intercept of the line with the $y$-axis is the $V_{0}$ value for that borehole. This can be represented by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}-V_{0, \text { global }}=V_{\text {int }}-V_{g l o b a l}\left(z_{\text {mid }}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=V_{\mathrm{int}}-K_{\text {global }} * z_{\text {mid }} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geostatistical modelling of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$-values results in a $\mathrm{V}_{0}$-grid for time-depth conversion.
The parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and K ensure tie-in of the layer base depth at a borehole with the time-depth converted layer base from the seismic horizon, provided that seismic horizons and borehole data are correct.

### 3.2 Instantaneous velocity based $\mathbf{v 0} 0 \mathrm{k}$ approach

In the first phase of the project it was investigated whether it is useful to construct a velocity model on the basis of the parameters $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ and k resulting from layerwise
linearization of instantaneous velocities. These parameters are defined by the relation $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{v}_{0}+\mathrm{kz}$, as illustrated in Figure 2.2.

In Figure $3.2 \mathrm{v}_{0}$-values of the Chalk Group are plotted against their corresponding k values. In view of this figure, it is tempting to assume that $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ is related linearly to k , written as $\mathrm{v}_{0}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bk}$. This relation offers a method to arrive at $\mathrm{V}_{0^{-}}$and K -values of a velocity model. The main points of this method are described below.

We note that the seismic traveltime through a layer $(\Delta T)$ can be written as (Japsen, 1993):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=\frac{1}{k} \ln \left[1+\frac{k\left(z_{b}-z_{t}\right)}{v\left(z_{t}\right)}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{v}_{0}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bk}$ with values of a and b fixed, then the above relation can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=\frac{1}{k} \ln \left[1+\frac{k\left(z_{b}-z_{t}\right)}{a+k\left(z_{t}-b\right)}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $k$ can be solved from the above equation, given a borehole with known depths $z_{t}$ and $z_{b}$ to top and base of a layer, and known seismic traveltime $\Delta T$ through that layer. Then this k -value is assigned to the parameter K of the velocity model, and the corresponding parameter $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is calculated according to $\mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{kz}_{\text {mid }}$.

With the above method, k has to be solved numerically. A more simple way to calculate a k -value is found when a Taylor series expansion is applied to the equation for $\Delta \mathrm{T}$, followed by truncation of this series. With a first order Taylor series expansion, K can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{V_{\mathrm{int}}-a}{z_{\text {mid }}-b} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the corresponding parameter $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is calculated according to $\mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bK}$.
A note has to be made with respect to Figure 3.2. It shows that most k-values are in the range of $0-5 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but there are also excessively high and low values. These excessive values are mostly related to small layer thicknesses. Instantaneous velocities across these thin layers vary more under the influence of changes in lithology than under the influence of compaction. This results in k-values that are not realistic from a compaction point of view. For example the negative k-value of nearly -125 in Figure 3.2 is related to borehole P06-A-04, where the thickness of the Chalk Group is only 2.4 ms (Appendix C).

Chalk Group: 85 boreholes with digital calibrated sonic log


Figure 3.2: Linear relation between $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ and k according to digital calibrated sonics of the Chalk Group

### 3.3 Velocity model of the Zechstein Group

The interval velocity for the Zechstein Group depends mainly on the proportion of halite in the layer, rather than on its depth. Therefore this layer is modelled with interval velocities that are related in a simple way to the relative proportion of halite in the layer.

It is assumed that the interval velocity of the Zechstein Group approximates the acoustic velocity (roughly $4500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) of halite, where the Zechstein layer has a considerable thickness. At locations where the thickness is relatively small, non-halites may cause deviations from the halite velocity. Two cases may be distinguished. First, the occurrence of anhydrites and carbonates that result in relatively high interval velocities. Second, the occurrence of a large proportion of clastics whose influence on the interval velocity is less clear. The above cases are expressed in Figure 3.3, showing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ as a function of two-way traveltime TWT according to sonic log data.

Zechstein Group: $\mathbf{3 6 8}$ boreholes


Figure 3.3: Schematic relation between interval velocity and two-way-traveltime in the Zechstein Group

Figure 3.3 is used to model Zechstein layer interval velocities. Where the seismic based two-way traveltime (Figure 2.4) is more than 280 ms , the interval velocity is provisionally set at $4500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Where two-way traveltime is less than 280 ms , interval velocity is provisionally set at the value according to sloping line segment in the figure. This can be expressed in the next equation:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{\text {int }}=4500 & \text { for } T W T \geq 280 \mathrm{~ms} \\
V_{\text {int }}=5500-3.57 * T W T & \text { for } T W T<280 \mathrm{~ms} \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

These provisional interval velocities can deviate from the known sonics based interval velocities. Therefore, in the next step, the provisional interval velocities at borehole locations are subtracted from the known sonics based interval velocities. After geostatistical modelling of these differences the 'deviation map' is added to the provisional interval velocity map, resulting in the final velocity model for the Zechstein Group.

## 4 The two different approaches of model building: comparison and selection

### 4.1 Vint-zmid approach

Starting from the global parameters $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ for the Chalk Group, the velocity model parameter $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ was determined at the borehole locations. Then a geostatistical modelling procedure, as described in Chapter 6, was applied to obtain a grid of $\mathrm{V}_{0^{-}}$ values.

### 4.2 Instantaneous velocity based v0-k approach

In Figure 4.1 are presented $v_{0}-\mathrm{k}$ pairs of the Chalk Group at 66 boreholes, together with a segment of the line $v_{0}=a-b k$. The parameter values of the line are: $a=3919 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{b}=1709 \mathrm{~m}$. Both end points of the line segment in Figure 4.1 stem from a normal velocity-depth trend in Japsen (1998), that was somewhat improved upon later (Japsen, 2000).

This normal velocity-depth trend, consisting of a number of red line segments in Figure 4.2, models the increase of compressional wave velocity in sediments of the North Sea Chalk Group at monotonous burial (no uplift phases) under hydrostatic conditions (no undercompaction due to overpressure). The various segments imply that the increase velocity, that is due to compaction, changes with burial depth. This is to be expected as compaction approaches a limiting value towards great burial depth.

Also plotted in Figure 4.2 (dots) are $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$-values against $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$-values at boreholes in the Netherlands territory. The scatter of dots around the normal velocity-depth trend may be interpreted as the result of uplift, exhumation and undercompaction (Van Dalfsen et al., 2005).

Figure 4.3 shows the population of various k-intervals for the Chalk Group. It is noted that the various slopes in the velocity-depth trend (Figure 4.2) agree with the two intervals (1.25-1.75 s ${ }^{-1}$ and $1.75-2.25 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) mostly populated with k -values (Figure 4.3).

Chalk Group: 66 boreholes with digital calibrated sonic log


Figure 4.1: Linear trend between $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ and k as inferred from a normal velocity-depth trend (Japsen, 1998)

Chalk Group


Figure 4.2: Normal velocity-depth trend of Japsen (2000) with ( $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ )-points of the Chalk Group in the Netherlands region

Chalk Group: 66 boreholes with digital calibrated sonic log


Figure 4.3: Population of k-intervals for the Chalk Group

To the parameter a in equation 3 was added a perturbation $\Delta$ a, meant to account for non-normal velocity-depth trends due to uplift and overpressure. This perturbation is borehole dependent and is calculated according to $\Delta \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Nlin}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}\right)$. The function $\mathrm{v}_{\text {Nlin }}(\mathrm{z})$ is a linear approximation of the normal velocity-depth trend.

The calculation of K and $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is straightforward, as described in section 3.2, with the parameter a in equation 3 replaced by a $+\Delta \mathrm{a}$. This resulted in geostatistically modelled maps of K and $\mathrm{V}_{0}$.

### 4.3 Comparison and selection

The performance of the approaches was evaluated with a cross validation procedure. In cross validation, each data point is successively left out and predicted from the rest of the data. This was done for the two approaches. With the values of the predicted parameters the travel times through the Chalk Group were calculated (Appendix D) and compared with the known travel times. The difference between calculated and known travel times was smaller in the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ approach then in the $\mathrm{v}_{0}-\mathrm{k}$ approach.

The results of the two approaches were presented to the VELMOD-1 participants at the meeting on September 9, 2005. It was shown that the difference between calculated and known travel times was smaller in the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ approach then in the $\mathrm{v}_{0}-\mathrm{k}$ approach. It was then decided to proceed with the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ method.

## 5 Subdivision into regions based on tectonic history

Throughout the years TNO geologists and geophysicists have gained extensive knowledge about the subsurface of the Dutch territory. By regional mapping projects TNO has produced thickness and depth maps of the lithostratigraphic layers (Geological Atlas of the Subsurface of the Netherlands - onshore , 2004).
From seismic, log and biostratigraphic analysis, it is known that (groups of) structural elements have experienced significantly different tectonic histories. Based on this knowledge we have made a subdivision into tectonic regions for each lithostratigraphic layer. Figure 5.1 shows the main Mesozoic structural elements in the on- and offshore Dutch territory. Especially areas that have experienced inversion show a significantly different velocity structure compared to stable areas. These areas are for example the West Netherlands Basin (WNB), the Roer Valley Graben (RVG), Central Graben (CGB) and Broad Fourteens Basin (BFB). These areas experienced uplift during the Late Cretaceous. In Figure 5.1 are shown in green the basins that have also experienced uplift during the middle Jurassic. Due to this inversion, the Lower Jurassic deposits (Altena Group) have been eroded.

Taking into account the distribution, depth, and thickness of the different lithostratigraphic layers and the tectonic history of the structural elements, it is possible to make a first subdivision into two regions (Figure 5.2):

1) inverted Mesozoic basins
2) less- or non-inverted areas.

The Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S) are only present in the inverted Mesozoic basins. However, due to differences in (timing and amount of) uplift, it is desirable to discriminate between the structural elements. Consequently, for the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups we have made an extra subdivision into three regions (Figure 5.2):

1a) Central Graben
1b) Broad Fourteens Basin, Central Netherlands Basin, West Netherlands Basin, Roer Valley Graben and Lower Saxony Basin
1c) Terschelling Basin, Vlieland Basin and Step Graben
The Altena Group (AT) has been subdivided into the same regions. However, since the Altena Group is mainly present in the first two regions, region 1c does not contribute in the modelling.

For the other layers, no subdivision into regions has been made in the final geostatistical modelling. For the North Sea Supergroup a subdivision into tectonic regions is of less relevance, since its velocity structure has been less influenced by tectonic movements. For the Chalk Group, at first a subdivision into regions 1 and 2 had been made. However, the results showed that the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution was better predicted without this subdivision into regions (lower standard deviations). In addition, the correlation coefficient of the interval velocities ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ ) plotted against middle depths ( $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ ), did not show a significant improvement when the data was subdivided into regions. Moreover, the resulting discontinuities in the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution at the region boundaries were
undesirable. Therefore, in the final geostatistical modelling no subdivision into regions was made for the Chalk Group.

For the Rijnland Group, the subdivision into regions has been tested as well, but has been rejected for the same reasons. Moreover, the parameters of the trendline in the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ plots ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{global}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ ) were roughly the same for the regions as well as for the data set as a whole. A subdivision of the Rijnland Group into two separate units, the Holland Formation and Vlieland Formation, would be more preferable, since it is known from borehole logs that these two formations have a different velocity distribution.

For the Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups ( R ) another approach would be preferable. From borehole logs it is known that the Lower Germanic Trias Group is more homogeneous than the Upper Germanic Trias Group. Interval velocities are influenced by this difference. Furthermore, the Upper Germanic Trias Group is not present everywhere. Therefore, TNO intends to divide the Germanic Trias Groups into two lithostratigraphic units; the Upper Germanic Trias Group (RN) and the Lower Germanic Trias Group (RB). Until then, we will carry out the geostatistical modelling on the Trias Groups as a whole.

Finally, for the Upper Rotliegend Group (RO) and Limburg Group (DC) no subdivision was introduced for the geostatistical modelling.


Figure 5.1: Main Mesozoic structural elements.
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Figure 5.2: Subdivision into regions for geostatistical modelling

## 6 Geostatistical modelling

Within the range of geostatistical methods one can distinguish between estimation methods and simulation methods. The first group includes the Kriging method, the second group includes for example Sequential Gaussian Simulation and Sequential Indicator Simulation.

With estimation methods the estimated value at a given location is the "best" estimate in a least-squares fit sense, with the local variance being minimized. However, the map with these "best" local estimates is not necessarily the best estimate for the area as a whole. Interpolation algorithms tend to smooth local details of the spatial variation; low values are being overestimated, high values underestimated. Another disadvantage of interpolation methods is the fact that the smoothing is not uniform but dependent on local data configuration. The smoothing is minimal close to data locations and increases with distance from the data locations. This results in a higher variation in predicted values in areas with a high data density than in areas with a low data density, while in reality the variation of the parameter might be the same in both areas. Another disadvantage of the smoothing of the kriging estimation is the fact that the kriging results do not confirm with the input data; the histogram and the variogram of the kriging results do not have the same properties as the input data. Furthermore, the kriging standard deviation is not dependent on the local data values, but only on the data configuration. Therefore, the kriging standard deviation can not be used as a measure of local uncertainty.

Instead of a map with "best" local estimates and their standard deviation - as provided with the kriging estimation - simulation methods provide so-called realizations; these realizations have the same statistical properties as the input data (histogram and variogram) and honor the data at their location. Also, the realizations provide a measure of joint uncertainty of the results; in realizations all the estimation locations (grid-cells) are considered simultaneously rather than one at a time, as is done in traditional kriging. Because of these considerations we choose to use a simulation method to obtain a correct way to quantify the uncertainty in the results, together with a best estimate of the expected value.

After generating multiple realizations a mean estimated map and measure of uncertainty can be derived from the ensemble of realizations. We have chosen for the Sequential Gaussian Simulation method, because of its rather simple implementation. Because of the Gaussian distribution that is required by this method, the mean and the variance are sufficient to characterize the uncertainty in the results.

### 6.1 Sequential Gaussian Simulation

To be able to perform Sequential Gaussian Simulation (SGS) it is necessary to transform all data to Gaussian space (Figure 6.1). After transformation, a variogram is produced from the transformed data and Simple Kriging is performed to obtain a mean


Figure 6.1: Example of transforming the data into Gaussian space
and variance for a prediction location (Figure 6.2). The weights used in this Kriging procedure are obtained from the variogram model fitted to the data. The mean and variance at the prediction location together form a distribution, from which a value is randomly drawn (Figure 6.3). This value will be the simulated value for the grid cell.

This value is added to the dataset and will be used as data point when Simple Kriging is performed to obtain a mean and variance for the next prediction location. This procedure is repeated until all grid cells have been visited. We now have one realization. This realization is back transformed into normal space. The whole procedure is repeated to obtain multiple realizations. Each realization has approximately the same statistical properties (histogram and variogram) as the original data. Furthermore, each realization satisfies the original data at the data locations. From the ensemble of realizations a mean and measure of uncertainty (standard deviation) is derived.


Figure 6.2: Prediction location (blue grid cell) and data locations (red dots)


Figure 6.3: Simple Kriging distribution at a prediction location based on the SK mean and standard deviation

## The variogram

An important tool in the estimation procedure is the variogram. The variogram is a socalled "two-point" statistic measure, showing the average squared difference for pairs of points separated by a certain distance. As the distance increases, the average difference will normally increase, until a certain distance at which the average difference is levelling off, see Figure 6.4. At this distance, called the range, there is no spatial correlation between the data points anymore; in Figure 6.4, the range is about 120000 meter. The nugget is the spatial correlation occurring at very small distances, together with the spatial uncorrelated measurements error. The sill is the variance that occurs at the specific distance. At the range, the sill is about the variance of the dataset, which equals to 1.0 in the case of Gaussian transformed variables.

The experimental variogram gives, at a specified distance interval, the average variance. To use the variogram in estimation, a variogram model is fitted through the experimental variogram. Not every model is allowed to be used through the experimental variogram, only so called positive-definite models. For more detail see Goovaerts (1997) and Deutsch and Journel (1998).


Figure 6.4: Variogram model Chalk Group

Sometimes there are two or more spatial structures superimposed in the experimental variogram. Variogram models can be added, so in the modelling of the variogram different spatial structures can be accounted for.

Increasing the nugget effect causes the estimates to become more like simple averaging of the available data. It reduces the spatial correlation effect in the data and causes the estimates to take values close to the regional average. Therefore we choose to set the nugget effect to 0 , thereby implying that the short distance correlation and the measurements error are less important in a regional study.

The range is used in the SGS procedure to determine how "close" the sample are, in a statistical way. Increasing the range makes the sample look more closer, more correlated. A very small range causes the estimates to become like the simple average of the data.

## 7 Results

### 7.1 Global interval velocities and K-values

As a first step interval velocities $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}\right)$ are plotted against mid-depths ( $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ ) for each layer, taking into account the regions as described in section 5. To obtain estimates for the global interval velocity described by equation 1 in section 3.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {global }}(z)=V_{0, \text { global }}+K_{\text {global }} z \tag{1,repeated}
\end{equation*}
$$

a trendline is determined by using multilinear regression. The coefficients are obtained by minimizing the squared error between the true and the estimated value, summed over all the active data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a}\left(Z_{a}-a_{0}+\sum_{i} a_{i} Y_{a}^{i}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Z the target variable as a function of a set of $N$ explanatory variables $Y^{i}$. For each layer the trendline is plotted, together with its correlation coefficient R and global velocity equation (Figure 7.1 to Figure 7.11). The correlation coefficients and parameters $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0 \text { global }}$ are summarized in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ values per region for each of the individual lithostratigraphic layers, according to the VELMOD-1 project

| Layer | Region | \# SNET | \# DCS | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | No subdivision | 269 | 78 | 0.288 | 1777 | 0.781 |
| CK | No subdivision | 445 | 74 | 0.882 | 2313 | 0.833 |
| KN | No subdivision | 432 | 95 | 0.492 | 2132 | 0.718 |
| S | No subdivision | 109 | 31 | 0.247 | 2748 | 0.305 |
| S | Region 1a | 39 |  | 0.626 | 1466 | 0.628 |
| S | Region 1b | 69 |  | 0.658 | 2309 | 0.729 |
| S | Region 1c | 26 |  | 0.959 | 994 | 0.873 |
| AT | No subdivision | 134 | 26 | 0.340 | 2438 | 0.552 |
| AT | Region 1a | 28 |  | 0.404 | 1946 | 0.598 |
| AT | Region 1b | 131 |  | 0.528 | 2182 | 0.731 |
| R | No subdivision | 332 | 58 | 0.362 | 3112 | 0.549 |
| RO | No subdivision | 227 | 47 | 0.335 | 3084 | 0.504 |
| DC | No subdivision | 86 | 44 | 0.217 | 3533 | 0.596 |

For comparison, for the groups with subdivision into regions the parameters are also given for the whole dataset. It can be seen that for the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S) the correlation coefficient for the dataset as a whole was 0.305 , which is significantly lower than the correlation between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ for the boreholes grouped into regions ( $\mathrm{R}=0.628,0.729$ and 0.873 ). Moreover, the parameter K increases significantly when a subdivision into regions is applied. The same can be observed in
the Altena Group. It thus emphasizes the importance of the subdivision into regions for these layers.

The above results compare well with results of the SNET project, compiled in Table 7.2. The values that can be compared are highlighted in blue in both Table 7.1 and Table 7.2.

Table 7.2: $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0, \text { global }}$ values per region for each of the individual lithostratigraphic layers, according to the SNET project

| Layer | Region | \# Boreholes | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {global }}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{0 . \text { global }}$ | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | No subdivision | 304 | 0.287 | 1776 | 0.779 |
| N | North | 184 | 0.289 | 1767 | 0.782 |
| N | East and South | 40 | 0.417 | 1760 | 0.807 |
| N | South-West | 80 | 0.465 | 1696 | 0.767 |
| CK | No subdivision | 466 | 0.875 | 2321 | 0.836 |
| CK | Central Graben | 18 | 0.910 | 1597 | 0.848 |
| CK | North | 45 | 1.049 | 1781 | 0.855 |
| CK | South | 403 | 1.031 | 2156 | 0.918 |
| KN | No subdivision | 480 | 0.504 | 2099 | 0.740 |
| KN | Central Graben | 57 | 1.064 | 621 | 0.873 |
| KN | North | 198 | 0.584 | 1899 | 0.876 |
| KN | North-East | 29 | 0.819 | 1310 | 0.892 |
| KN | East | 115 | 0.615 | 2027 | 0.756 |
| KN | West | 29 | 0.776 | 1598 | 0.784 |
| KN | South-West | 52 | 0.728 | 2026 | 0.834 |
| S | No subdivision | 128 | 0.247 | 2687 | 0.319 |
| S | Central Graben | 37 | 0.372 | 1889 | 0.607 |
| S | North | 24 | 0.619 | 1849 | 0.762 |
| S | East | 21 | 0.566 | 2285 | 0.750 |
| S | West | 4 | 0.734 | 1881 | 0.760 |
| S | South-West1 | 29 | 0.534 | 2770 | 0.779 |
| S | South-West2 | 13 | 0.750 | 2038 | 0.797 |
| AT | No subdivision | 154 | 0.328 | 2459 | 0.587 |
| AT | Central Graben | 7 | 0.521 | 1500 | 0.875 |
| AT | North | 23 | 0.645 | 1424 | 0.783 |
| AT | East | 35 | 0.483 | 2093 | 0.847 |
| AT | West | 10 | 0.363 | 2361 | 0.628 |
| AT | South-West1 | 63 | 0.435 | 2451 | 0.699 |
| AT | South-West2 | 16 | 0.322 | 2490 | 0.696 |
| R | No subdivision | 376 | 0.366 | 3095 | 0.553 |
| R | North | 136 | 0.466 | 2575 | 0.773 |
| R | East | 103 | 0.409 | 3098 | 0.741 |
| R | South-West | 137 | 0.474 | 3097 | 0.635 |
| RO/RV | No subdivision | 275 | 0.374 | 3019 | 0.507 |
| DC | No subdivision | 97 | 0.254 | 3443 | 0.686 |

The regionalization in the SNET project was based on a clustering algorithm, which did not take into account structural elements. For this reason, the regional boundaries tend to be rather capricious from a geological point of view. As the clustering was performed
layerwise, the subdivision for one layer may differ from that for another layer. The above aspects of subdivision of the various layers make the use of its corresponding velocity model less attractive.

Table 7.1 and Table 7.2 highlight an important aspect of downscaling constant $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, K velocity models to smaller regions. They show that the K-value tends to increase, when an adequate subdivision in regions is made. In thought, this process of shrinking a certain region into a smaller region may be carried on until only a very small region is left around a certain borehole. Obviously, then one ends up with the parameters k and $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ of that borehole.


Figure 7.1: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the North Sea Supergroup (N)


Figure 7.2: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Chalk Group (CK)


Figure 7.3: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{mid}}$ plot of the Rijnland Group (KN)


Figure 7.4: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{mid}}$ plot of the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S), region 1a


Figure 7.5: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S), region 1b


Figure 7.6: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{mid}}$ plot of the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups (S), region 1c


Figure 7.7: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Altena Group (AT), region 1a


Figure 7.8: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Altena Group (AT), region 1 b


Figure 7.9: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups ( R )


Figure 7.10: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ plot of the Rotliegend Group (RO)


Figure 7.11: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {top }}$ plot of the Limburg Group (DC)

### 7.2 Variogram modelling

The Simple Kriging algorithm in the Sequential Gaussian procedure uses a variogram model to determine the weights for the data points within the search radius. For each layer a variogram model is fitted to the experimental variogram of the (to Gaussian space transformed) data belonging to each region, or to the whole dataset when no subdivision into regions is used for that specific layer. For all models the sill is set to 1 , which is, per definition, the variance of the Gaussian dataset, and the nugget to 0 . A non-zero nugget indicates that repeated measurements at the same point yield different values. By choosing a zero nugget, we disregard errors in the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ data.

In the next figures the variogram models are shown, that have been used for the geostatistical modelling of the parameter $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ for the various layers. The variogram for the difference between $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$-values from borehole data and the ZE isochore map (Figure 2.4) based $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$-values at the locations of those boreholes is also shown. All $\mathrm{V}_{0^{-}}$and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}{ }^{-}$ values, except for the Limburg Group, are assigned to the coordinates $E_{\text {mid }}$ en $\mathrm{N}_{\text {mid }}$ (UTM31, ED50) that locate where the borehole is at the mid-depth $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ of the layer. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {mid }}, \mathrm{N}_{\text {mid }}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ are listed in Appendices A and C . For the Limburg Group the $\mathrm{V}_{0^{-}}$ value is assigned to the top of the layer.


Figure 7.12: Variogram model for the North Sea Supergroup


Figure 7.13: Variogram model for the Chalk Group


Figure 7.14: Variogram model for the Rijnland Group


Figure 7.15: Variogram model for the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups, region 1a


Figure 7.16: Variogram model for the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups, region 1b


Figure 7.17: Variogram model for the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups, region 1c


Figure 7.18: Variogram model for the Altena Group, region 1a


Figure 7.19: Variogram model for the Altena Group, region 1b


Figure 7.20: Variogram model for the Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups


Figure 7.21: Variogram model for the the Zechstein Group for the difference between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ from boreholes and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ based on TWT


Figure 7.22: Variogram model for the Upper Rotliegend Group


Figure 7.23: Variogram model for the Limburg Group

### 7.3 Velocity modelling

Within the Sequential Gaussian Simulation 50 realizations of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution have been generated for the various layers and regions. The final $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution map is the mean of these 50 realizations, its corresponding uncertainty map the standard deviation of the 50 realizations. For layers that have been subdivided into regions, the realizations have been generated per region, after which the resulting mean and standard deviation of the different regions have been merged into one final $\mathrm{V}_{0}$-distribution map and one uncertainty map for the whole area.

The $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distributions and their uncertainty (standard deviation) for the various lithostratigraphic layers, when applicable, are shown starting at Figure 7.24. Results of the geostatistical modelling for the Zechstein Group are shown in Figure 7.36 (deviation map), Figure 7.37 ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ distribution) and Figure 7.38 (standard deviation). For interpretation of the results, isochore maps and time maps of the bases of the lithostratigraphic layers are given in Appendix E.


Figure 7.24: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the North Sea Supergroup (N)


Figure 7.25: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the North Sea Supergroup (N)


Figure 7.26: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Chalk Group (CK)


Figure 7.27: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Chalk Group (CK)


Figure 7.28: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Rijnland Group (KN)


Figure 7.29: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Rijnland Group (KN)


Figure 7.30: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups ( S ) with subdivision into regions


Figure 7.31: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $V_{0}$ distribution of the Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups ( S ) with subdivision into regions


Figure 7.32: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Altena Group (AT) with subdivision into regions


Figure 7.33: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Altena Group (AT) with subdivision into regions


Figure 7.34: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups (R)


Figure 7.35: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups (R)


Figure 7.36: Deviation map of the Zechstein Group showing the simulated difference between $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{int}}$ from boreholes and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ based on the ZE isochore map


Figure 7.37: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ distribution of the Zechstein Group (ZE)


Figure 7.38: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ distribution of the Zechstein Group (ZE)


Figure 7.39: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Upper Rotliegend Group (RO)


Figure 7.40: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Upper Rotliegend Group (RO)


Figure 7.41: $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Limburg Group (DC)


Figure 7.42: Uncertainty (standard deviation) of the $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ distribution of the Limburg Group (DC)

## 8 Conclusions and recommendations

## Conclusions

1. The instantaneous velocity based $\mathrm{v}_{0}-\mathrm{k}$ method of velocity modelling is more complicated and less accurate than the $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ method.
2. The $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{Z}_{\text {mid }}$ method is particularly suited to model seismic velocities in clastic layers.
3. In modelling seismic velocities for the layer of the Zechstein Group, advantage can be taken of seismics derived isochore map of two-way-traveltime data.
4. A 9-layer seismic velocity model, based on data from the TNO DINO data repository, is constructed for the Netherlands on- and offshore areas.

## Recommendations

1. The seismic velocity model constructed under VELMOD-1 may be improved with principal's digital calibrated sonic log data, not present in the TNO DINO data repository.
2. The present velocity model for the Trias layer and also for the Rijnland Group may be replaced. It should be investigated to check the feasibility and added value of a subdivision of these layers into the following layers:

- Subdivision of the Trias layer into the Upper Germanic Trias Group (RN) and the Lower Germanic Trias Group (RB)
- Subdivision of the Rijnland Group in the Holland and Vlieland formations
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## A Data of the SNET project

The data is available on CD.

Table A. 1: Explanation of abbreviations used in Appendix A

| Abbreviation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Status | $V_{\text {int }}$ is accepted or rejected for $V_{\text {int }}-Z_{\text {mid }}$ analysis and geostatistical <br> modelling. SNET $>$ DCS: DCS data is used in stead of SNET data |
| UWI | Borehole identifier |
| Borehole | Name of the borehole |
| Layer | Lithostratigraphic layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Depth of the top of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Depth of the base of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{TWT}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Two way traveltime to the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{TWT}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Two way traveltime to the base of the layer |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ | One way traveltime vertical through layer |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | One way traveltime to the base of the layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the bottom of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the bottom of the layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at reference surface |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at reference surface |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | Deviation (Easting) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | Deviation (Northing) |
| $\Delta \mathrm{z}$ | True vertical thickness [m] |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ | Mid-depth of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ | Interval velocity [m/s] |

## B Graphs of instantaneous velocities of DCS logs and their linearisation with VOLONZ

On the next pages the graphs of instantaneous velocities of DCS logs and their linearisation with VOLONZ are shown. The graphs are also available on CD.

Table B. 1: Color legend of the VOLONZ graphs

| No. | Layer | Abbr. | VOLONZ color |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | North Sea Supergroup | N | yellow |
| 2 | Chalk Group | CK | light green |
| 3 | Rijnland Group | KN | dark green |
| 4 | Niedersachsen, Schieland and Scruff Groups | S | dark blue |
| 5 | Altena Group | AT | light blue |
| 6 | Upper and Lower Germanic Trias Groups | R | purple |
| 7 | Zechstein Group | ZE | pink |
| 8 | Upper Rotliegend Group | RO | brown |
| 9 | Limburg Group | DC | grey |


| UWI | Borehole |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7825 | A12-03 |
| 8108 | A15-02 |
| 7534 | B14-02 |
| 3697 | BKZ-01 |
| 2497 | BRA-01 |
| 2474 | BTL-01 |
| 7018 | D12-01 |
| 7657 | D12-03 |
| 7704 | D12-04 |
| 7682 | D15-02 |
| 8009 | E02-02 |
| 7737 | E04-01 |
| 7513 | E10-01 |
| 7028 | E18-02 |
| 7970 | F01-01 |
| 7770 | F05-03 |
| 8037 | F12-03 |
| 7915 | F15-07 |
| 7559 | F17-06 |
| 7823 | G11-02 |
| 7523 | G16-01 |
| 7590 | G17-02 |
| 7079 | G18-01 |
| 7080 | H16-01 |
| 2667 | HST-02-S1 |
| 7722 | J03-02 |
| 7936 | J06-A-01 |
| 7738 | K03-01 |
| 7980 | K06-06 |
| 8025 | K06-08 |
| 8114 | K06-N-01 |
| 7133 | K10-11 |
| 7566 | K10-12 |
| 8142 | K10-V-02 |
| 7666 | K11-09 |
| 7926 | K11-11 |
| 7561 | K12-A-04 |
| 7683 | K12-B-03 |
| 7758 | K12-B-05 |
| 8059 | K12-B-06 |
| 8053 | K13-14 |
| 7235 | K16-04 |
| 7580 | K18-KOTTER-05 |
| 7622 | K18-KOTTER-07-10 |
| 7632 | K18-KOTTER-08-01 |
| 7639 | K18-KOTTER-09-12 |
| 2653 | KDZ-02 |
| 1097 | KGB-01 |
| 8062 | L03-03 |
| 8126 | L03-04 |
| 8173 | L04-06 |
| 7617 | L05-04 |
| 7824 | L05-05 |
| 7952 | L06-01 |
| 7519 | L08-04 |
| 7969 | L08-11 |
| 7542 | L09-02 |
| 7828 | L09-04 |
| 7301 | L10-22 |


| UWI | Borehole |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7303 | L10-24 |
| 7721 | L10-27 |
| 7806 | L10-29 |
| 7348 | L10-F-01 |
| 7302 | L10-G-01 |
| 7602 | L10-K-01-S1 |
| 7712 | L10-K-01-S2 |
| 7662 | L10-K-02 |
| 7811 | L10-L-02 |
| 7357 | L11-08 |
| 7368 | L13-05 |
| 7369 | L13-06 |
| 7608 | L13-FD-101 |
| 7594 | L14-05 |
| 7677 | L16-LOGGER-04-S2 |
| 7978 | M04-01 |
| 8128 | M04-02 |
| 7724 | M10-03 |
| 7836 | M10-04 |
| 3730 | MRK-01 |
| 3721 | MSG-01 |
| 2442 | NRZ-01 |
| 3751 | OBLZ-01 |
| 8494 | P02-04 |
| 7596 | P02-07 |
| 7552 | P05-05 |
| 8495 | P06-A-01 |
| 8630 | P06-A-02 |
| 8497 | P06-A-03 |
| 7427 | P06-A-04 |
| 7598 | P06-A-06 |
| 7619 | P06-B-01 |
| 8531 | P06-C-01 |
| 7997 | P06-S-01 |
| 7431 | P08-02 |
| 7557 | P08-04 |
| 7527 | P08-05-S1 |
| 7434 | P09-02 |
| 7764 | P12-07 |
| 8049 | P12-12 |
| 7749 | P12-C-06 |
| 7935 | P14-A-01 |
| 8070 | P16-01 |
| 2659 | PKP-01 |
| 3713 | PRN-01 |
| 8096 | Q02-04 |
| 7530 | Q04-04 |
| 7656 | Q05-01 |
| 8054 | Q05-05 |
| 7495 | Q07-04 |
| 7837 | Q08-06 |
| 7499 | Q08-B-01 |
| 7739 | Q10-03 |
| 7650 | Q13-04 |
| 7702 | Q13-05 |
| 7524 | Q16-03 |
| 7925 | Q16-FA-101 |
| 3799 | WWN-02 |
| 2344 | ZVH-01 |


Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: A15-02






Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: D12-03






Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: E18-02





Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: F17-06





Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: H16-01
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Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: HST-02-S1

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: J03-02

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: J06-A-01





Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: K10-11
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Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: K12-B-03
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Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: K18-KOT-08-C


Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: K18-KOT-09-1
















Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L10-24



Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L10-F-01

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L10-G-01
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Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L10-K-01-S1




Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L11-08

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L13-05




Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: L16-LOG-04-S









Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P02-04

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P02-07

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P05-05







Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P06-C-01


Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P08-02

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P08-04

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P08-05-S1

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: P09-02
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Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: Q04-04

Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: Q05-01


Calibrated instantaneous velocities and linearisation per layer at borehole: Q07-04











## C Linearisation data (v0 and k) of VOLONZ-processed DCS logs

The data is available on CD.

Table C. 1: Explanation of abbreviations used in Appendix C

| Abbreviation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Status | $V_{\text {int }}$ is accepted or rejected for $V_{\text {int }}-\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ analysis and geostatistical <br> modelling. SNET $>$ DCS: DCS data is used in stead of SNET data |
| UWI | Borehole identifier |
| Borehole | Name of the borehole |
| Layer | Lithostratigraphic layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Depth of the top of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Depth of the base of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{TWT}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Two way traveltime to the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{TWT}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Two way traveltime to the base of the layer |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ | One way traveltime vertical through layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the bottom of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the bottom of the layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at reference surface |
| $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at reference surface |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{E}_{0}$ | Deviation (Easting) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{N}_{0}$ | Deviation (Northing) |
| $\Delta \mathrm{z}$ | True vertical thickness [m] |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ | Mid-depth of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ | Interval velocity [m/s] |
| k | [/s] (calculated by VOLONZ) |
| $\mathrm{dk}^{[/ s] ~(c a l c u l a t e d ~ b y ~ V O L O N Z) ~}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ | $[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$ (calculated by VOLONZ) |
| $\mathrm{dv}_{0}$ | $[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$ (calculated by VOLONZ) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{av}}$ | $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ (calculated by VOLONZ) |
|  |  |

## D Results of VELMOD-1 Phase 1

The data is available on CD.

Table D. 1: Explanation of abbreviations used in Appendix D

| Abbreviation | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| DCS/SNET | Which dataset is used for the borehole |
| UWI | Borehole identifier |
| Borehole | Name of the borehole |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the top of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | Depth of the top of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | Depth of the base of the layer [m] |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ | One way traveltime vertical through layer |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | One way traveltime to the base of the layer |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Easting) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {mid }}$ | Location (Northing) of the borehole at the mid-depth of the layer |
| $\mathrm{z}_{\text {mid }}$ | Mid-depth of the layer [m] |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {int }}$ | Interval velocity [m/s] |
| $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ | $[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]$ (calculated by VOLONZ for DCS boreholes) |
| k | $[/ \mathrm{s}]$ (calculated by VOLONZ for DCS boreholes) |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\text {predicted }}$ | One way traveltime vertical through layer, calculated from predicted <br> method A <br> $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and K in method A [ms] |
| $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ method B | $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
| K method B | $[/ \mathrm{s}]$ |

## E Isochore maps and time maps of the bases of the lithostratigraphic layers.

On the next pages the isochore maps and time maps of the bases of the lithostratigraphic layers are shown. These maps are also available on CD.

















[^0]:    Abbreviations: $\mathrm{BFB}=$ Broad Fourteens Basin, $\mathrm{CGB}=$ Central Graben, CNB= Central Netherlands Basin, ESH = Elbow Spit High, LBM= London-Brabant Massif, LSB= Lower Saxony Basin, RVG= Roer Valley Graben, $\mathrm{SGB}=$ Step Graben, TSB= Terschelling Basin, TYH= Texel IJsselmeer High, VLB= Vlieland Basin, WGH= Winterton-Gulf High, WNB= West Netherlands Basin, ZR = Zandvoort Ridge.

